

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,297	09/18/2003	Jerry Zucker	PGI6044P1051US	9051	
32116 7	590 02/24/2005	EXAMINER			
WOOD, PHII	LLIPS, KATZ, CLARK	STEPHENS, JA	STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F		
500 W. MADIS	SON STREET				
SUITE 3800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60661			3761		

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Office Action Comments		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/666,29	97	ZUCKER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
			e F Stephens	3761				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum street to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evilunication. 0) days, a reply within the state atutory period will apply and wwill, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
	mana and a same a same and a same							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Pa <u>p</u> ers							
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	•		-				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date 2/9/04.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seibert et al. USPN 6117800. Seibert discloses a material comprising microdenier fibers for the purpose of providing an aesthetically pleasing outer surface which also provides an liquid impermeable surface (col. 2,lines 27-35). Seibert discloses the microdenier material has an average pore size of approximately 100 nanometers, which is less than 1000 nanometers (col. 2, lines 36-37). Seibert further discloses the material is a laminate (col. 2, lines 1-13). Seibert does not disclose the material in a disposable absorbent article. However, the material of Seibert provides barrier properties as well

Art Unit: 3761

as a fabric side that is comfortable and has a good hand. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the material of Seibert in an absorbent article, such as a diaper for the added benefit of protection to viruses and good breathability, which is desired in an incontinence article.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Schwartz can be reached on (571)272-4390. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Éline F Stephens

Jnit 3761

February 22, 2005